HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Performance of Dual-En at Increased Risk of Bre Sung JS, Lebron L, Keating D, I Jochelson MS. Radiology. 2019 Aug 27:18266 *Key Point: Data evalua be useful for women tha	ergy Contrast-enhanced Digital Mammography for Screening Women ast Cancer. D'Alessio D, Comstock CE, Lee CH, Pike MC, Ayhan M, Moskowitz CS, Morris EA, 50. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2019182660 Ited from a 5-year period, concluded CEDM, as a screening exam, may It are determined to have a higher-than-average risk for breast cancer.	19-Aug						х	
The role of contrast-enh breast cancer. Helal MH, Mansour SM, Ahme Clin Radiol. 2019 Jul 5. pii: SOC *Key Point: In screening the traditional mammog	anced spectral mammography in the evaluation of the postoperative ed HA, Abdel Ghany AF, Kamel OF, Elkholy NG 109-9260(19)30277-6. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2019.06.002 g the postoperative breast, adding a CESM exam is a credible addition to rram.	19-Jul						x	
Comparison of contrast tomosynthesis for lesion Huang H, Scaduto DA, Liu C, Y Fisher PR, Zhao W. (Siemens) J Med Imaging (Bellingham). 2 *Key Point: Contrast-er enhanced digital mamm margins.	enhanced digital mammography and contrast-enhanced digital breast n assessment. ang J, Zhu C, Rinaldi K, Eisenberg J, Liu J, Hoernig M, Wicklein J, Vogt S, Mertelmeier T, 019 Jul;6(3):031407. doi: 10.1117/1.JMI.6.3.031407 hanced digital breast tomosynthesis (CEDBT) was compared to contrast- ography (CEDM) and CEDBT demonstrated improvement of lesion	19-Jul						х	
Diagnostic performance retrospective study invo Travieso-Aja MDM, Maldonac Rodríguez M, Vega Benítez V, Radiol Med. 2019 Jun 27. doi: *Key Point: In the asses to its high diagnostic acc	of contrast-enhanced dual-energy spectral mammography (CESM): a olving 644 breast lesions. o-Saluzzi D, Naranjo-Santana P, Fernández-Ruiz C, Severino-Rondón W, Rodríguez Pérez-Luzardo O. 10.1007/s11547-019-01056-2. sment of breast lesions, CESM can be considered a useful technique due uracy in comparison to FFDM.	19-Jun						x	
Contrast enhanced digit measurement of the siz Youn I, Choi S, Choi YJ, Moon Br J Radiol. 2019 Jun;92(1098) *Key Point: For measure measurements.	al mammography versus magnetic resonance imaging for accurate e of breast cancer. IH, Park HJ, Ham SY, Park CH, Kim EY, Kook SH. :20180929. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20180929. Epub 2019 Apr 24. ing of tumor size of breast cancer, CEDM was accurate in	19-Jun		х					

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Added value of contrast Wessam R, Gomaa MMM, Fou Br J Radiol. 2019 Jun;92(1098) *Key Point: There is val diagnostic mammograph	-enhanced mammography in assessment of breast asymmetries. Jad MA, Mokhtar SM, Tohamey YM. 20180245. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20180245. Epub 2019 May 15. Jue to adding CESM in evaluating symmetries detected in screening and NY.	19-Jun				x			
Evaluation of single-view inferiority feasibility stu Lobbes MBI, Hecker J, Houber Eur Radiol. 2019 May 9. doi: 1 *Key Point: When comp	w contrast-enhanced mammography as novel reading strategy: a non- dy. n IPL, Pluymakers R, Jeukens C, Laji UC, Gommers S, Wildberger JE, Nelemans PJ. 0.1007/s00330-019-06215-7. [Epub ahead of print] pared to 2-views, the 1-view had lower diagnostic performance.	19-May	х			х			
Comparison of Contrast-Enhanced Mammography With Conventional Digital Mammography in Breast Cancer Screening: A Pilot Study. Kim G, Phillips J, Cole E, Brook A, Mehta T, Slanetz P, Fishman MDC, Karimova E, Mehta R, Lotfi P, Resteghini N, Raj S, Dialani V. J Am Coll Radiol. 2019 May 16. pii: S1546-1440(19)30440-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2019.04.007. [Epub ahead of print] *Key Point: A trend was noted toward enhanced confidence with increasing tissue density with CEM.		19-May	x			x			
Contrast-enhanced spect calcifications: diagnostic Houben IP, Vanwetswinkel S, Lobbes M Acta Radiologica - 2019 Jan 24 *Key Point: The diagnost with CESM.	tral mammography in the evaluation of breast suspicious c accuracy and impact on surgical management. Kalia V, Thywissen T, Nelemans PJ, Heuts EM, Smidt ML, Meyer-Baese A, Wildberger JE, 2284185118822639. doi: 10.1177/0284185118822639 stic accuracy of evaluating breast calcifications is only slightly improved	19-Jan				x			
Contrast-Enhanced Mar Perry H, Phillips J, Dialani V, Si AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2019 Ja *Key Point: Article prov procedures into a clinica	nmography: A Systematic Guide to Interpretation and Reporting. anetz PJ, Fein-Zachary VJ, Karimova EJ, Mehta TS n;212(1):222-231. doi: 10.2214/AJR.17.19265. Epub 2018 Nov 1. ides guidance for implementing contrast enhanced mammography Il practice.	19-Jan	x						

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
 Diagnostic Value of Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography versus Contrast-Enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging for the Preoperative Evaluation of Breast Cancer. Kim EY, Youn I, Lee KH, Yun JS, Park YL, Park CH, Moon J, Choi SH, Choi YJ, Ham SY, Kook SH. J Breast Cancer. 2018 Dec;21(4):453-462. doi: 10.4048/jbc.2018.21.e62. Epub 2018 Dec 26 *Key Point: In comparison to CEMRI, CEDM demonstrated a comparable diagnostic performance in the area of showing index cancers, secondary cancers, and occult cancer in the contralateral breast. Preoperative imaging for breast conservation surgery-do we need more than conventional 		18-Dec		х					
Preoperative imaging for imaging for local diseas Ong E. – Gland Surgical Journa *Key Point: In this retro cancers than MRI - (100)	or breast conservation surgery-do we need more than conventional e assessment? al - 2018 Dec;7(6):554-559. doi: 10.21037/gs.2018.08.05. Ispective study of 27 procedures, CESM demonstrated more secondary % vs. 91%)	18-Dec		x					
Role of contrast-enhance lesions: Preliminary rese Bicchierai G, Nori J, De Bened Tumori. 2018 Dec 17:3008916 *Key Point: Utilizing the in the next steps for pos	ed spectral mammography in the post biopsy management of B3 ults. etto D1, Boeri C, Vanzi E, Bianchi S, Kaur Gill M, Cirone D, Miele V. 518816212. doi: 10.1177/0300891618816212 e BI-RADS classifications, results of CESM procedures are classified to aid t biopsy management of BI-RADS 3 lesions.	18-Dec				х		x	
ASO Author Reflections Assessment of Residual Hilal T, Patel BK. Ann Surg On *Key Point: When asses accuracy of CESM is com	Role of Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography in the Breast Cancer After Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy. col. 2018 Dec;25(Suppl 3):652-653. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6899-2. asing residual breast cancer after neoadjuvant systemic therapy, the aparable to bMRI.	18-Dec		х					
Diagnostic Value of Con Resonance Imaging in B Xing D, Lv Y, Sun 2, Xie H, Don J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2018 *Key Point: A comparis and false-positive rate w	trast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography in Comparison to Magnetic reast Lesions. g J, Hao C, Chen Q, Chi X. B Dec 7. doi: 10.1097/RCT.0000000000000832. On between CESM and MRI demonstrates better accuracy, specificity, with CESM in detecting breast cancer.	18-Dec		х					

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Comparative Dose of Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography (CESM), Digital Mammography, and Digital Breast Tomosynthesis. Phillips J, Mihai G, Hassonjee SE, Raj SD, Palmer MR, Brook A, Zhang D. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2018 Oct;211(4):839-846. doi: 10.2214/AJR.17.19036. Epub 2018 Jul 31. *Key Point: As a diagnostic tool, CESM has an acceptable range for dose compared to other mammographic exams. Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography in Women With Intermediate Breast Cancer Risk		Oct-18			х				
Contrast-Enhanced Spec and Dense Breasts. Sorin V, Yagil Y, Yosepovich A, AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2018 Se *Key Point: Women wit a CESM procedure due t	ctral Mammography in Women With Intermediate Breast Cancer Risk Shalmon A, Gotlieb M, Neiman OH, Sklair-Levy M. p 21:W1-W8. doi: 10.2214/AJR.17.19355. [Epub ahead of print] th intermediate breast cancer risk and dense breast tissue benefit from o it's higher sensitivity.	Sep-18				х			
Contrast-enhanced spec James JJ, Tennant SL Clin Radiol. 2018 Aug;73(8):71 *Key Point: CESM impro	tral mammography (CESM) .5-723. doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2018.05.005. Epub 2018 Jun 21. oves diagnostic accuracy, especially in women with denser breast tissue.	Aug-18	х			Х			
Value Added of Preoper Invasive Lobular Carcino Patel BK, Davis J, Ferraro C, Ko Clin Breast Cancer. 2018 Jul 1 *Key Point: Patients wit standard digital mammo	rative Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography in Patients With oma of the Breast osiorek H, Hasselbach K, Ocal T, Pockaj B. 7. pii: S1526-8209(18)30371-9. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2018.07.012. [Epub ahead of print] ch biopsy-proven invasive lobular carcinoma, CEDM outperforms ography in correctly assessing the extent of the disease.	Jul-18	x					x	
Diagnostic Value of Con Systematic Review and Zhu X, Huang JM, Zhang K, Xia Clin Breast Cancer. 2018 Jun 1 *Key Point: CESM is a us accuracy for evaluating b	trast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography for Screening Breast Cancer: Meta-analysis U, Feng L, Yang P, Zhang MY, Xiao W, Lin HX, Yu YH 5. pii: S1526-8209(18)30008-9. doi: 10.1016/j.clbc.2018.06.003. seful test for initial assessment of breast lesions due to a high diagnostic preast cancer.	Jun-18	Х						

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Comparison of Contrast John Lewin - Magnetic Resona *Key Point: Compared t sensitivity and specificity examination time.	Enhanced Mammography and Contrast-Enhanced Breast MR Imaging nce Imaging Clinics of North America, Vol 26, Issue 2, May 2018, Pages 259-263 o breast MR, contrast-enhanced mammography (CEM) has similar r. CEM has advantages in terms of cost, patient acceptability, and	May-18		x					
The impact of contrast e ultrasound (3DUS) on the Helal MH, Salem DS, Salaleldir Br J Radiol. 2018 Apr 11:20170 *Key Point: This prospe measurement and the de	e characterization of the disease extend in cancer patients LA, Mansour SM, Alkalaawy BM, Mokhtar NM 1977. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20170977. ctive study found the use of CESM increased the accuracy of size etection of multiple tumors.	Apr-18	х						GE
The added value of cont multiplicity of suspiciou Amr Farouk Ibrahim Moustafa Mohammed Gomaa The Egyptian Journal of Radiol *Key Point: CESM demo masses increasing the ac centricity).	rast enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) in identification of s lesions in dense breast , Eman Faker Kamal, Maher M. Hassan, Mona Sakr, Mohammed Mohammed ogy and Nuclear Medicine, Available online 2 April 2018 Instrated an added value in the preoperative assessment of breast curacy of detection of lesions and multiplicity (multifocality and multi-	Apr-18				х			
Workflow Consideration a Breast Imaging Practic Phillips J, Steinkeler J, Talati K, J Am Coll Radiol. 2018 Mar 30 *KeyPoint: Assesed and preparation to examinat in a linear fasion and also	e Brook A, Dialani V, Fishman M, Slanetz PJ, Mehta TS pii: S1546-1440(18)30205-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2018.02.012 compared the workflow of CESM, DM,MRI and CT from pateient ion to post examination. The author outlined timing challenges if done o potential ways to work in parallel to minimize effect on workflow.	Mar-18		х					

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Contrast-Enhanced Spec Residual Breast Cancer I	tral Mammography is Comparable to MRI in the Assessment of Following Neoadjuvant Systemic Therapy								
Ann Surg Oncol. 2018 May;25 *Key Point: After the co	(5):1350-1356. doi: 10.1245/s10434-018-6413-x. Epub 2018 Mar 7. Impletion of NST, CESM was comparable to MRI in assessing residual	Mar-18		Х					Н
malignancy.	tral mammagraphy. Experience in AEE examinations								
[Article in Spanish - Abs Navarro ME, Razmilic D, Araos Revista Medical de Chile, 2018 *Key Point: After review July 2015 and October 2 accuracy of 85%.	tract] 51, Rodrigo A, Andia ME 8 Feb;146(2):141-149. doi: 10.4067/s0034-98872018000200141 ving 465 contrast enhanced mammography studies, performed between 017, the authors found CESM sensitivity to be 100% and with diagnostic	Feb-18							
Quantitative analysis of	enhanced malignant and benign lesions on contrast-enhanced spectral								
mammography Deng CY, Juan YH, Cheung YC, Br J Radiol. 2018 Feb 27:20170 *Key Point: For assessin which the malignancies than the benign lesions.	Lin YC, Lo YF, Lin G, Chen SC, Ng SH 0605. doi: 10.1259/bjr.20170605 g breast lesions, the quantities of enhancement were informative in nad stronger enhancement and more relative depressed enhancement	Feb-18						x	
The Future of Contrast- Covington MF, Pizzitola VJ, Lo AJR:210, February 2018 *Key Point: Contrast En fast contrast imaging wi	Enhanced Mammography rans R, Pockaj BA, Northfelt DW, AppletonCM, Patel BK hanced Mammography (CEM), when compared to breast MRI, permits th lower costs, imaging and interpretation times.	Feb-18		х	x		х		Н
Computer-Aided Diagno Patela BK, Ranjbarb S, Teresa European Journal of Radiology *Key Point: The feasibil number of false-positive	sis of Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography: A Feasibility Study Wue T, Pockajc BA, Lie J, Zhangd N, Lobbesf M, Zhengg B, Mitchell JR - online 5 December 2017 ity study proposes that the use of CAD with CESM will help reduce the findings.	Dec-17	х					х	Н

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Dual energy contrast en elastography in the eval Ayman M.A.M. Nada, Rashad The Egyptian Journal of Radio *Key Point: In this study sensitivity without a red	hanced (DECE) soft tissue digital mammography versus ultrasound luation of breast masses Hamdi, Ahmed Shokry logy and Nuclear Medicine, Volume 48, Issue 4, December 2017, Pages 1179-1186 of 32 patients, DECE demonstrated a considerable increase in the uction in specificity.	Dec-17							GE
Comparison of screenin study Jochelson MS, Pinker K, Dersh Moskowitz CS, Morris EA, Sun *Key Point: Because CE are not seen on convent do not have access to M	g CEDM and MRI for women at increased risk for breast cancer: A pilot aw DD, Hughes M, Gibbons GF, Rahbar K, Robson ME, Mangino DA, Goldman D, g JS. Eur J Radiol. 2017 Dec;97:37-43. Epub 2017 Oct 7. DM is able to detect additional cancers, especially invasive cancers, that ional mammography, the procedure would be valuable for women who RI or meet the criteria for MRI.	Dec-17	x	x				х	GE
Assessing tumor extent digital mammography a Bhavika K. Patel, Sandra Alhel Clinical Imaging, Volume 46, N *Key Point: This study fo determining tumor size,	on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (CEDM) versus full-field nd ultrasound i Garza, Sarah Eversman, Yania Lopez-Alvarez, Heidi Kosiorek, Barbara A. Pockaj lovember–December 2017, Pages 78-84 ound that the addition of CEDM, as a supplement to FFDM for was beneficial for patients with dense breasts.	Nov-17				х			Н
Contrast-enhanced spec cancer screening has low I.P.L. Houben, P. Van de Voord European Journal of Radiolog *Key Point: The authors screening, because it can might hold important cli	Etral mammography as work-up tool in patients recalled from breast W risks and might hold clinical benefits de, C.R.L.P.N. Jeukens, J.E. Wildberger, L.F. Kooreman, M.L. Smidt, M.B.I. Lobbes Y, Volume 94, September 2017, Pages 31-37 is recommend using CESM as a work-up tool for women recalled from pries low risk for the patient, while additionally detecting tumour foci nical implications.	Sep-17				х		х	

HOLOGIC°	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Contrast-enhanced spec comparison with breast Lotti V, Ravaioli S, Vacondio R G,Falco G, Ferrari G, Braglia L, Breast Cancer Res. 2017; 19: 2 *Key Point: CESM is at chemotherapy treatmer MRI.	ctral mammography in neoadjuvant chemotherapy monitoring: a magnetic resonance imaging , Coriani C, Caffarri S, Sghedoni R, Nitrosi A, Ragazzi M, Gasparini E, Masini C, Bisagni Del Prato A, Malavolti I, Ginocchi V, Pattacini P 106. Published online 2017 Sep 11. least as reliable as MRI in assessing the response to neoadjuvant nt. Lesion size mesaurements were highly correlated between CESM &	Sep-17		x		x			GE
Could parenchymal enh represent a new breast S.L. Savaridas, D.B. Taylor, D. *Key Point: CESM is bei enhancement.	ancement on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) cancer risk factor? Correlation with known radiology risk factors Gunawardana, M. Phillips - Clinical Radiology Available online 1 September 2017 tter than CEMRI for inter-reader reliability in grading breast parenchymal	Sep-17		x				х	GE
Contrast Enhanced Spece Bhavika K. Patel, M.B.I. Lobbe *Key Point: Contrast En indications that were pr	c tral Mammography: A Review as, John Lewin - Seminars in Ultrasound, CT and MRI Avail. online 24 August 2017 ahanced Spectral Mammography (CESM) is being adopted for several eviously earmarked for MRI.	Aug-17		x				x	Н
Assessing tumor extent mammography and ultr Patel BK, Garza SA, Eversman 10.1016/j.clinimag.2017.07.00 *Key Point: CEDM proce was greater in patients of	on contrast-enhanced spectral mammography versus full-field digital rasound. S, Lopez-Alvarez Y, Kosiorek H, Pockaj BA - Clinical Imaging. 2017 Jul 12;46:78-84. doi: 01 edure is an added value to FFDM in determining tumor size, however, with dense breasts.	Jul-17	х			x			Н
Clinical utility of contra- detected architectural of Patel BK, Naylor ME, Kosiorek 12;46:44-52. doi: 10.1016/j.cl *Key Point: Retrospection sensitivity and NPV of Climalignancy and avoiding	st-enhanced spectral mammography as an adjunct for tomosynthesis- listortion. HE, Lopez-Alvarez YM, Miller AM, Pizzitola VJ, Pockaj BA - Clin Imaging. 2017 Jul inimag.2017.07.003 ive review CESM prior to biopsied AD architectural distortions (AD) High ESM in patients with AD is promising as an adjunct tool in diagnosing g unnecessary biopsy, respectively.	Jul-17	х					х	Н

HOLOGIC°	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Indeterminate breast le decisions? Samira Saraya, Lamia Adel, As Issue 2, June 2017, Pages 547 *Key Point: By assessin indeterminate lesions (E	sions: Can contrast enhanced digital mammography change our maa Mahmoud - The Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine Volume 48, -552 g the efficiency of contrast enhanced digital mammography (CEDM) for BIRADS 3 and 4) proved to be a useful tool for breast cancer detection.	Jun-17				x		x	GE
Role of contrast enhance locally advanced breast Noha Abd ElShafy ElSaid, Heb Journal of Radiology and Nucl *Key Point: CESM can b NAC which aids in surgio	red spectral mammography in predicting pathological response of cancer post neo-adjuvant chemotherapy atallah G.M. Mahmoud, Asmaa Salama, Marwa Nabil, Eman D. ElDesouky - The Egyptian ear Medicine Volume 48, Issue 2, June 2017, Pages 519-527 be a clinical method for assessment of the pathological response post cal planning.	Jun-17				x		x	GE
Potential Cost Savings of Patel BK, Gray RJ, Pockaj BA - *Key Point: This study I the cost implications for equipment, staffing and potential savings to the	f Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography. AJR Am J Roentgology. 2017 Apr:1-7. doi: 10.2214/AJR.16.17239 (Epub ahead of print) ooks at both the efficacy of CEDM compared to BMRI, but also goes into both patient and institution. The author considers capital purchase, other costs related to both types of exams. The author states a healthcare system of \$1.1 billion.	Jun-17		x	x		x	x	н
Staging of breast cancel physician needs to know Helal MH, Mansour SM, Zaglo doi: 10.1259/bjr.20160717. E *Key Point: This prospe determined that CEDM cancer.	r and the advanced applications of digital mammogram: what the v? I M, Salaleldin LA, Nada OM, Haggag MA - Br J Radiol. 2017 Mar;90(1071):20160717. pub 2017 Feb 22. ective study evaluated 98 proven malignant breast masses and and tomosynthesis present an informative method for staging of breast	Mar-17	x	x					GE
Contrast-enhanced spec setting: patient prefere Phillips J, Miller MM, Mehta 2017 Mar - Apr;42:193-197. d *Key Point: The prospe CESM. Seventy-nine per agreeable to having CES	ctral mammography (CESM) versus MRI in the high-risk screening nces and attitudes. TS, Fein-Zachary V, Nathanson A, Hori W, Monahan-Earley R, Slanetz PJ - Clin Imaging. Ioi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2016.12.011. ctive study, with high risk patients, reviewed their exams of BMRI and rcent (79%) of the patients preferred CESM over MRI and 89% would be M as an annual screening.	Mar-17	x	x					GE

HOLOGIC°	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Contrast-enhanced spect setting: patient prefere Phillips J, Miller MM, Mehta T 2017 Mar - Apr;42:193-197. d *Key Point: The prospection CESM. Seventy-nine per agreeable to having CES	ctral mammography (CESM) versus MRI in the high-risk screening nces and attitudes. TS, Fein-Zachary V, Nathanson A, Hori W, Monahan-Earley R, Slanetz PJ - Clin Imaging. loi: 10.1016/j.clinimag.2016.12.011. ctive study, with high risk patients, reviewed their exams of BMRI and rcent (79%) of the patients preferred CESM over MRI and 89% would be M as an annual screening.	Mar-17	x	x					GE
Breast Radiation Dose V Mammography James JR, Pavlicek W, Hanson 10.2214/AJR.16.16743 *Key Point: Compared FFDM and 3D Tomosynt exposure slightly in a mo regulations.	With CESM Compared With 2D FFDM and 3D Tomosynthesis JA, Boltz TF, Patel BK - AJR Am J Roentgology. 2017 Feb;208(2):362-372. doi: the radiation dose with 3 differnent methods of mammography (CEDM, chesis). The author concluded that while CEDM increased the mGY ean thicknes of 63mm it stayed well below the 3.0mGY limit set MQSA	Feb-17			х				Н
Contrast-enhanced Sper Bhimani C, Matta D, Roth RG, 10.1016/j.acra.2016.08.019. I *Key Point: It is importa before incorporating it i	ctral Mammography: Technique, Indications, and Clinical Applications. Liao L, Tinney E, Brill K, Germaine P Acad Radiol. 2017 Jan;24(1):84-88. doi: Epub 2016 Oct 20. ant to understand the technique of CESM and practical applications nto practice.	Jan-17	x			x	x		GE
Contrast-enhanced spee program: validation of r Lalji UC, Houben IP, Prevos R, Mok W, Nelemans P, Smidt M Epub 2016 Apr 20. *Key Point: CESM is con diagnostic accuracy rega tool in recalls from scree	ctral mammography in recalls from the Dutch breast cancer screening results in a large multireader, multicase study. Gommers S, van Goethem M, Vanwetswinkel S, Pijnappel R, Steeman R, Frotscher C, IL, Beets-Tan RG, Wildberger JE, Lobbes MB Eur Radiol. 2016 Dec;26(12):4371-4379. Insistently superior to conventional mammography. CESM increases ardless of a reader's experience. CESM is an excellent problem-solving ening programs.	Dec-16	x			x	x	x	GE
Contrast-enhanced spect in a multi-reader evaluat Fallenberg EM, Schmitzberger DM, Bick U, Hamm B, Dromai *Key Point: CESM has c	ctral mammography vs. mammography and MRI - clinical performance ation. r FF, Amer H, Ingold-Heppner B, Balleyguier C, Diekmann F, Engelken F, Mann RM, Renz n C Eur Radiol. 2016 Nov 28. comparable diagnostic performance (ROC-AUC) to MRI for breast cancer.	Nov-16	x	x		x		x	GE

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Adding the power of iod cancer diagnosis. Tsigginou A, Gkali C2, Chalazo Nov;89(1067):20160397. Epul *Key Point: Malignancy mammography or CESM BIRADS score and the pr tool that increases the a	dinated contrast media to the credibility of mammography in breast nitis A, Feida E, Vlachos DE, Zagouri F, Rellias I, Dimitrakakis C Br J Radiol. 2016 o 2016 Aug 9. potential score (MPS) has higher diagnostic performance than digital alone. MPS empowers the credibility of the digital mammography oposed type of enhancement in dual-energy CESM and is a diagnostic ccuracy rate in early breast cancer diagnosis.	Nov-16	х					х	GE
Contrast-enhanced spect setting. Tennant SL, James JJ, Cornford Nov;71(11):1148-55. doi: 10.1 *Key Point: CESM provit patients with suspicious accuracy for breast cance mammographic investig	tral mammography improves diagnostic accuracy in the symptomatic d EJ, Chen Y, Burrell HC, Hamilton LJ, Girio-Fragkoulakis C Clin Radiol. 2016 .016/j.crad.2016.05.009. Epub 2016 Jun 11. des immediately available, clinically useful information in the clinic for palpable abnormalities. Radiologist sensitivity, specificity, and size er detection and staging are all improved using CESM as the primary ation.	Nov-16	x			x		x	GE
Degree of Enhancement Type on Mammography Łuczyńska E, Niemiec J, Hendr 2016 Oct 21;22:3886-3893. *Key Point: Strong or m microcalcifications on M combination of MG and found.	t on Contrast Enhanced Spectral Mammography (CESM) and Lesion (MG): Comparison Based on Histological Results. ick E, Heinze S1 Jaszczyński J, Jakubowicz J, Sas-Korczyńska B, Rys J Med Sci Monit. nedium enhancement on CESM and mass or mass with IG were strong indicators of malignant transformation. However, no CESM characteristics helpful in defining false-positive lesions were	Oct-16	х					x	GE
Contrast-enhanced Digit Cases. Lewis TC, Pizzitola VJ, Giuresc 10.1111/tbj.12681 *Key Point: Given its su diagnostic adjunct, CEDN cost conclusions of screet imaging.	tal Mammography: A Single-Institution Experience of the First 208 u ME, Eversman WG, Lorans R, Robinson KA, Patel BK Breast J. 2016 Oct 2. doi: ccess in recent studies and the experience of CEDM primarily as a M can potentially improve breast cancer detection by combining the low- ening mammography with the high sensitivity of magnetic resonance	Oct-16	х	х		х	х		Н

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Is contrast-enhanced sp mastopathy from breast Travieso Aja MD, Santana Lóp Oct;60(5):639-641. doi: 10.112 *Key Point: Diabetic ma discussed in CESM studie ultrasound, mammograp	ectral mammography (CESM) helpful in differentiating diabetic carcinoma? ez G, Rodríguez Rodríguez M, Luzardo OP J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2016 L1/1754-9485.12446. Epub 2016 Mar 30. stopathy, a rare benign inflammatory disease, is evaluated and es. This disease often displays as a suspicious image of malignancy in why and breast MRI.	Oct-16	х			х		х	
Contrast-Enhanced Digit Ali-Mucheru M, Pockaj B, Pate Oncology, Published online Se *Key Point: The authors MRI for surgical planning	al Mammography in the Surgical Management of Breast Cancer I B, Pizzitola V, Wasif N, Stucky CC, Gray R MAYO Clinic, Phoenix, AZ - Annals of Surgical ptember 15, 2016, DOI 10.1245/s10434-016-5567-7 concluded that utilizing CEDM is low cost, relatively easy alternative to g and maintains the high sensitivity of bMRI.	Sep-16		x			x		GE
Contrast-enhanced spec (MRI): A retrospective c Li L, Roth R, Germaine P, Ren S 5684(16)30212-1. doi: 10.1010 Key Point: CESM has sin and less background enh a more accessible altern detection and staging.	tral mammography (CESM) vs. breast magnetic resonance imaging omparison in 66 breast lesions. 5, Lee M, Hunter K, Tinney E, Liao L Diagn Interv Imaging. 2016 Sep 26. pii: S2211- 5/j.diii.2016.08.013. hilar sensitivity than BMRI in breast cancer detection, with higher PPV ancement. CESM is associate with significantly shorter exam time thus ative to BMRI, it has potential to play an important role in breast cancer	Sep-16	Х	Х		Х		х	н
Dual-Energy Contrast-Er Non-Mass Microcalcifica Cheung YC, Juan YH, Lin YC, Lo 10.1371/journal.pone.016274 *Key Point: Dual-energy added value in assessing favorable to the diagnos malignant lesions or non	hanced Spectral Mammography: Enhancement Analysis on BI-RADS 4 ntions in Screened Women. YF, Tsai HP, Ueng SH, Chen SC PLoS One. 2016 Sep 9;11(9):e0162740. doi: 0. eCollection 2016. contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (DE-CESM) might provide the non-mass screened breast microcalcification, with enhancement is of cancers or lack of enhancement virtually diagnostic for non- invasive subgroup cancers.	Sep-16	х			х		х	GE

HOLOGIC°	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Comparison of the Mam Ultrasonography in a Gr Łuczyńska E, Niemiec J, Hendr 2016 Aug; 36 (8):4359-66. *Key Point: CESM perm individually. CESM found false-positive diagnoses	imography, Contrast-Enhanced Spectral Mammography and oup of 116 patients. ick E, Heinze S1 Jaszczyński J, Jakubowicz J, Sas-Korczyńska B, Rys J - Anticancer Res. itted better detection of malignant lesions than both MG and US read I lesion enhancement in some benign lesions as well, yielding a rate of similar to that of MG and US	Aug-16	x			x		x	GE
Diagnostic performance meta-analysis. Tagliafico AS, Bignotti B, Rossi 9. doi: 10.1016/j.breast.2016. *Key Point: The source bias. High-quality studies	of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography: Systematic review and F, Signori A, Sormani MP, Valdora F, Calabrese M, Houssami N Breast. 2016 Aug;28:13- 04.008. Epub 2016 May 7. studies were based on highly selected case series and prone to selection s are required to assess the accuracy of CESM in unselected cases.	Aug-16	x					x	Mult
Comparison of Backgrou Mammography and Bre Sogani J, Morris EA, Kaplan JB *Key Point: This study v enhancement (BPE) in C examines the relationsh	and Parenchymal Enhancement at Contrast-enhanced Spectral ast MR Imaging. D'Alessio D, Goldman D, Moskowitz CS, Jochelson MS Radiology. 2016 Jul 4:160284 was conducted to assess the extent of background parenchymal ESM and bMRI. Inter-reader agreement in BPE assessment and ips between clinical factors and BPE.	Jul-16	x	x					GE
Preclinical study of diag versus MRI for breast di Wang Q, Li K, Wang L, Zhang J *Key Point: Demonstra MRI in terms of diagnost alternative method of so	nostic performances of contrast-enhanced spectral mammography seases in China. , Zhou Z, Feng Y - Springerplus. 2016 Jun 17;5(1):763. doi: 10.1186/s40064-016-2385-0. tes that CESM possesses better diagnostic performances than breast cic sensitivity and lesion size assessment. Also that CESM is a good reening breast cancer in high-risk people.	Jun-16	x	x		x			GE
Added Value of Contras Tardivel AM, Balleyguier C, Du doi: 10.1111/tbj.12627. Epub *Key Point: CESM can b screening and may chan cancer staging.	t-Enhanced Spectral Mammography in Postscreening Assessment. Inant A, Delaloge S, Mazouni C, Mathieu MC, Dromain C - Breast J. 2016 Sep;22(5):520-8. 2016 Jun 27. e performed easily in a clinical assessment after positive breast cancer ge significantly the diagnostic and treatment strategy through breast	Jun-16	x			x	х		GE

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Challenges in contrast-e Yagil Y, Shalmon A, Rundstein doi: 10.1016/j.crad.2016.01.0 *Key Point: Two main a not hamper image interp misinterpretation of the	nhanced spectral mammography interpretation: artefacts lexicon. A, Servadio Y, Halshtok O, Gotlieb M, Sklair-Levy M Clin Radiol. 2016 May;71(5):450-7. 12. Epub 2016 Feb 18. rtefacts commonly seen on CESM are rim and ripple artefacts which do pretation. It is important to be aware of them and prevent se artefacts as real breast pathology.	May-16	х						GE
A Case of a Concurrent a the Potential of Dual-En Complex Breast Lesions Travieso Aja MD, Munoz P, Ro 25;13(3):e32190. *Key Point: Being less c diagnostic sensitivity in t	and Co-Located Invasive Carcinoma and a Fibroadenoma to Illustrate ergy, Contrast-Enhanced Digital Mammography on the Diagnosis of	Apr-16	x	x			х	х	GE
Clinical utility of dual-er microcalcifications with Cheung YC, Tsai HP, Lo YF, Ue 3904-z. Epub 2015 Jul 10. *Key Point: Dual-energy additional enhancement	nergy contrast-enhanced spectral mammography for breast out associated mass: a preliminary analysis. Ing SH, Huang PC, Chen SC Eur Radiol. 2016 Apr;26(4):1082-9. doi: 10.1007/s00330-015- Y contrast-enhanced spectral mammography (DE-CESM) provides information for diagnosing suspicious breast microcalcifications.	Apr-16	x			x		x	GE
Diagnostic accuracy of c conventional full-field d Mori M, Akashi-Tanaka S, Suzu *Key Point: These findir FFDM. Use of CESM may	ontrast-enhanced spectral mammography in comparison to igital mammography in a population of women with dense breasts. Jki S, Daniels MI, Watanabe C, Hirose M, Nakamura S Breast Cancer. 2016 Mar 4. Ings suggest that CESM offers superior clinical performance compared to decrease false negatives especially for women with dense breasts.	Mar-16	х			х			GE

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Clinical evaluation of co tomosynthesis - Compar Chou CP, Lewin JM, Chiang CL 10.1016/j.ejrad.2015.09.019. *Key Point: CET and CEI up women with abnorm accuracy to conventiona	ntrast-enhanced digital mammography & contrast enhanced rison to contrast-enhanced bMRI , Hung BH, Yang TL, Huang JS, Liao JB, Pan HB. Eur J Radiol. 2015 Dec;84(12):2501-8. doi: DM may be considered as an alternative modality to MRI for following al mammography. All three contrast modalities were superior in I digital mammography with or without tomosynthesis.	Dec-15	x	x					Н
Use of low-energy contr mammography-proof of K.S. Blum, G. Antoch, S. Mohr *Key Point: Data proves mammography.	ast-enhanced spectral mammography (CESM) as diagnostic concept mann, S. Obenauer - Radiography, Vol. 21, Issue 4, Nov. 2015, Pages 352-358 the concept of using low-energy CESM image as diagnostic	Nov-15	х		х				GE
Dual-energy contrast-er Daniaux M, De Zordo T, Santn C Arch Gynecol Obstet. 2019 *Key Point: A review th and drawbacks of CESM	hanced spectral mammography (CESM). er W, Amort B, Koppelstätter F, Jaschke W, Dromain C, Oberaigner W, Hubalek M, Marth 5 Oct;292(4):739-47. doi: 10.1007/s00404-015-3693-2. Epub 2015 Mar 27. e current literature, present their experience, discuss the advantages and look at the future of this innovative technique.	Oct-15	x						GE
Contrast enhanced digit breast? Noha Abd ElShafy ElSaid, Sam The Egyptian Journal of Radio *Key Point: Dual-energy identification of lesions i useful tool in the follow- chemotherapy.	al mammography: Is it useful in detecting lesions in edematous ah Farouk, Ola Magdy Mohamed Shetat, Nagat Mansour Khalifa, Omnia Mokhtar Nada - ogy and Nuclear Medicine, Volume 46, Issue 3, Sept 2015, Pages 811-819 v contrast-enhanced digital mammography is a useful technique in n mammographically dense edematous breasts and proved to be a up of cases presenting by edema after conservative breast surgery and	Sep-15	x				х	x	GE

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Contrast-enhanced spect on the diagnosis of breat Kamal RM, Helal MH, Wessam June 2015, Pages 1049-1055 *Key Point: The assessor lesions on CESM enhance between benign and mat	tral mammography: Impact of the qualitative morphology descriptors st lesions R, Mansour SM, Godda I, Alieldin N - European Journal of Radiology, Volume 84, Issue 6, nent of the morphology and enhancement characteristics of breast es the performance of digital mammography in the differentiation lignant breast lesions.	Jun-15	x			x		x	GE
Contrast-enhanced spect Patient preferences and Hobbs MM, Taylor DB, Buzyns 9485.12296. Epub 2015 Apr 2 *Key Point: Data sugges adding support for the re	tral mammography (CESM) and contrast enhanced MRI (CEMRI): tolerance. ki S, Peake RE J Med Imaging Radiat Oncol. 2015 Jun;59(3):300-5. doi: 10.1111/1754- 1. ct that overall, patients prefer the experience of CESM to CEMRI, thus ble of CESM as a possible alternative to CEMRI for breast cancer staging.	Jun-15	x	х		х		х	GE
Comparison between bu Łuczyńska E, Heinze-Paluchow 2015 May 12;21:1358-67. doi: *Key Point: The results which enables accurate value, and a false-positiv	east MRI and contrast-enhanced spectral mammography. ska S, Hendrick E, Dyczek S, Ryś J, Herman K, Blecharz P, Jakubowicz J Med Sci Monit. 10.12659/MSM.893018. indicate that CESM has the potential to be a valuable diagnostic method detection of malignant breast lesions, has high negative predictive re rate similar to that of breast MRI.	May-15	x	x		x		х	GE
The quality of tumor siz benefit of additional bre Lobbes MB, Lalji UC, Neleman 2015 Jan 5;6(2):144-50. doi: 1 *Key Point: Quality of to these measurement asso improve the quality of to	e assessment by contrast-enhanced spectral mammography and the east MRI. s PJ, Houben I, Smidt ML, Heuts E de Vries B, Wildberger JE, Beets-Tan RG J Cancer. 0.7150/jca.10705. eCollection 2015. umor size measurement using CESM is good and matches the quality of essed by breast MRI. Additional measurements using breast MRI did not umor size measurements.	Jan-15		x		x			GE
Use of Contrast-Enhance Preliminary Results Blum K, Rubbert C, Mathys B, November 2014, Pages 1363- *Key Point: CESM is acc helpful in the characteria	ed Spectral Mammography for Intramammary Cancer Staging: Antoch G, Mohrmann S, Obenauer S - Academic Radiology, Volume 21, Issue 11, 1369 urate in size measurements of small breast tumors. CESM seems to be zation of breast tissue around microcalcifications.	Nov-14	x			x			GE

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Dual-energy contrast-er M.M. Travieso Aja, M. Rodrígu Edition), Vol 56, Issue 5, Sept- *Key Point: Dual-energy technique in breast canc lesion in addition to the	hanced mammography Pez Rodríguez, S. Alayón Hernández, V. Vega Benítez, O.P. Luzardo - Radiología (English Oct 2014, Pages 390-399 / contrast-enhanced mammography is a new, apparently promising er that provides information about the degree of vascularization of the morphological information provided by conventional mammography.	Oct-14	х			x	x		GE
Can contrast enhanced in Mokhtar O, Mahmoud S - The 2014, Pages 1043-1052 *Key Point: Initial clinica mammography alone an	mammography solve the problem of dense breast lesions? Egyptian Journal of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Volume 45, Issue 3, September al results show that CEDM has better diagnostic accuracy than d mammography + ultrasound especially in dense breasts.	Sep-14	x			x			GE
Low energy mammogra comparable to routine f Francescone M, Jochelson M, Radiology, Volume 83, Issue 8 *Key Point: Low energy intravenous iodinated co place of the FFDM, there	m obtained in contrast-enhanced digital mammography (CEDM) is ull-field digital mammography (FFDM) Dershaw D, Sung J, Hughes MC, Zheng J, Moskowitz C, Morris EA - European Journal of , August 2014, Pages 1350-1355 CEDM images are equivalent to standard FFDM despite the presence of ontrast. Low energy CEDM images may be used for interpretation in eby reducing patient dose.	Aug-14	х		х				GE
Contrast-Enhanced Digit Maxine Jochelson - Radiologic *Key Point: Compared t capability of vascular en routine mammography.	cal Mammography Clinics of North America, Volume 52, Issue 3, May 2014, Pages 609-616 o bMRI, contrast-enhanced dual-energy mammography uses the same hancement and has been demonstrated to be more sensitive than	May-14	x	x					GE
Dual-energy contrast-er F.R. Barra, A.C. Ribeiro, O.D. M March 2014, Pages 351-352 *Key Point: Authors hav protocol.	hanced digital mammography: Examination protocol Nathieu, A.C. Rodrigues - Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging, Volume 95, Issue 3, Ving been utilizing CEDM since 2013 and have developed an examination	Mar-14	х						

HOLOGIC	Contrast Enhanced Mammography (CE2D/CEDM) Selected Bibliography Updated September 2019	Date	Diagnostic	MRI comparison	Dose	Outcomes	Economics	Cancer Detection	Manufacturer
Beyond Mammography Drukteinis JS, Mooney BP, Flor 2013, Pages 472-479 *Key Point: The authors personalized approach b screening technologies b	New Frontiers in Breast Cancer Screening wers CI, Gatenby RA - The American Journal of Medicine, Volume 126, Issue 6, June propose that optimal breast cancer screening will eventually require a ased on metrics of cancer risk with selective application of specific best suited to the individual's age, risk, and breast density.	Jun-13	х		х	х	х		
Initial Clinical Experience Chen SC, Carton AK, Albert M, 229-238 *Key Point: As an adjun for breast lesion morpho	e with Contrast-Enhanced Digital Breast Tomosynthesis Conant EF, Schnall MD, Maidment AD - Academic Radiology;14(2), February 2007, Pages ct to digital mammography, CE-DBT may be a potential alternative tool plogic and vascular characterization.	Feb-07	х						GE
Contrast-Enhanced Digit John Lewin - Seminars in Brea *Key Point: Results from cancer; however, much	cal Mammography st Disease, Volume 9, Issue 3, September 2006, Pages 105-110 n three pilot studies have been promising, with high sensitivity to work remains before the technique becomes a viable clinical tool.	Sep-06	x						Н
MISC-02893 Rev. 010 (09/19) US/International © 2019 Hologic, Inc. All rights reserved. Printed in USA. Subject to change without notice. This is a bibliography of select publications. A search was performed on 01/30/19 using PubMed with the search criteria of "Contrast Mammography". From this list, articles were selected based on the use of Hologic equipment in the discussed study. These are not meant to be claims and are not intended as a product solicitation or promotion where such activities are prohibited. For specific information on what products are available for sale in a particular country, please contact your local Hologic representative or at www.hologic.com.									